

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJSSPN_130452 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Influence of Different Levels Organic and Inorganic Fertilizer on Soil Health after Potato (<i>Solanumtuberosum</i> L.) cultivation. |
| Type of the Article | |

PART 1: Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | The idea of the study is very good, as it highlights the residues of organic and chemical fertilizers in the soil, which results in planting subsequent crops without using fertilizers or reducing them. | Thanks for positive comment |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | Yes | Thanks for positive comment |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | Yes, but need to add place of experiment and statistical analysis. | Corrected as per suggestions |
| Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here. | Yes | Thanks for positive comment |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. | There are many references in the manuscript body that are not in the list of references and vice versa. | |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Yes | Thanks for positive comment |
| Optional/General comments | The study aim needs to be rewritten because it does not match the study. The author should mention the components of the organic fertilizer in order to be able to explain the results he reached. He should also mention how the data was analyzed. Finally, the discussion is very lack or non-existent. | Corrected as per suggestions |

Review Form 3

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |