

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_130130
Title of the Manuscript:	Synergistic effects of Organic Manures and Biofertilizers on the Growth Performance of Cauliflower (Brassica oleracea L. var. botrytis) cv. Pusa Snowball-1
Type of the Article	Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript provides valuable insights into sustainable nutrient management using organic manures and biofertilizers. It highlights eco-friendly practices for cauliflower production and offers practical applications for improving yield and profitability.	Okay
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is appropriate but could be simplified to: "Synergistic Effects of Organic Manures and Biofertilizers on Cauliflower Growth.	Noted
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is informative but could be improved by summarizing key results concisely and mentioning statistical significance. A few grammatical corrections are needed.	Done
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The study is well-designed and provides reliable results. Statistical analysis and interpretations are logical, though some clarity in data presentation is recommended.	Okay
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are adequate, but a few recent studies (last 3 years) on biofertilizers in Brassica crops would strengthen the manuscript.	

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is mostly clear but requires minor grammatical corrections and smoother phrasing for better readability.	
Optional/General comments	<div>1. Add a clear hypothesis in the introduction.</div> <div>2. Specify the statistical tools used.</div> <div>3. Ensure consistent treatment codes in text and tables.</div> <div>4. Highlight environmental benefits of biofertilizers.</div> <div>5. Justify using Pusa Snowball-1 variety.</div> <div>6. Discuss economic implications for small farmers.</div> <div>7. Include soil type and initial nutrient status.</div> <div>8. Provide graphical summaries of key results.</div> <div>9. Address study limitations in the conclusion.</div> <div>10. Verify all references are cited properly.</div> <div>11. Rephrase awkward sentences for clarity.</div> <div>12. Summarize treatment combinations and profits in a table.</div> <div>13. Discuss scalability of findings across regions.</div> <div>14. Ensure consistent use of abbreviations.</div> <div>15. Add recent references to strengthen claims.</div> <div>16. Explain the control treatment setup briefly.</div> <div>17. Correct typographical errors in the abstract.</div> <div>18. Align formatting with journal standards.</div> <div>19. Emphasize practical takeaways for farmers.</div> <div>20. <input type="checkbox"/> Suggest future studies on long-term soil health.</div>	All corrections are incorporated

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<div>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</div>	