

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Gastroenterology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRGA_130807
Title of the Manuscript:	Dieulafoy’s Surprise: An Unexpected Source of Upper Gastrointestinal Bleeding - A Case Report
Type of the Article	Case report

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Obscure GI bleeding remain a diagnostic enigma, re-do endoscopy or sometimes repeated endoscopy along with the help of nuclear scintigraphy and CST angiography helps in clinching the diagnosis. Dieulafoy's lesion is one of the important cause of obscure overt upper GI bleeding and fundal location makes it even more rarer	Thank you for your feedback.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Suitable	Thank you.
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Comprehensive , no additional points are required	Noted.
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	Okay.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No, number of references can be curtailed to 6-8	Thank you.
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	Okay.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	