

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Nursing and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRNH_130703
Title of the Manuscript:	Perspectives of Ward In-Charges on Strategies to Control Nurse Emigration in Selected Hospitals in Tamale, Ghana: A Qualitative Study.
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Generally, the article is well-written from a scientific perspective fulfilling all the requirements of the research process from the beginning of the work, abstract, to the end, conclusion. The article is written from a critical review perspective and balanced. The researcher comprehensively discussed the methods utilising, and all justifications were made substantiate the scientificness of the study. However, there two issues with the method that must be address before publication – 1. Population and 2. Saturation of the data.	We have modified these areas and thank you.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	Thank you for your valuable comments
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	Thank you for your valuable comments
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	Thank you for your valuable comments
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	Thank you for your valuable comments
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	Thank you for your valuable comments
Optional/General comments		Thank you for your valuable comments

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	