

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Nursing and Health</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRNH_130344
Title of the Manuscript:	Occupational factors related to Scrub Typhus: A case-control study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.  
To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

**Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>  
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study design in the title and methods section does not match with the time frames mentioned in the study. It seems as if a prospective study had been carried out. For the sake of presenting, the authors have named it as a case-control study. Nothing has been mentioned regarding matching of the controls.	The authors thank the reviewer for the comments. As rightly pointed out by the reviewer, the study duration is changed in the abstract from 1 year to six months. It is a prospective case control study. The controls are matched for age and gender. The same has been mentioned in the abstract and the methodology as well.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Mentioned above.	The author would like to thank the reviewer for the comments.
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	-	The author would like to thank the reviewer for the comments.
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	-	The author would like to thank the reviewer for the comments.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	-	The author would like to thank the reviewer for the comments.
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.	The author would like to thank the reviewer for the comments.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	