

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Nursing and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRNH_130344
Title of the Manuscript:	Occupational factors related to Scrub Typhus: A case-control study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Scrub typhus is one of vector-bone disease which is fatal if not treated early. Human hygienic behaviours are important in acquiring disease like not wearing long trousers/ long sleeve shirt. In this article, bathing habit is significantly related with acquiring disease as daily bathing can recognise the mite attached to body early. Escher is an important sign for diagnosis. This paper highlighted it.	The authors would like to thank the reviewer for the comments.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Risk factor is better instead of 'occupational risk factor'.	As suggested by the reviewer, the title is changed and it now reads as below. "Risk factors related to Scrub Typhus: A case-control study"
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	ok	The authors would like to thank the reviewer for the comments.
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	ok	The authors would like to thank the reviewer for the comments.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	ok	The authors would like to thank the reviewer for the comments.
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	ok	The authors would like to thank the reviewer for the comments.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	good	The authors would like to thank the reviewer for the comments.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	