

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Nursing and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRNH_130344
Title of the Manuscript:	Occupational factors related to Scrub Typhus: A case-control study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Scrub typhus is a deadly disease and though endemic to a few regions in India, it is spreading quickly to most parts of the country mainly due to urbanisation. It is it is a disease that is often overlooked and under diagnosed. Therefore, whatever can be done to prevent people from acquiring this disease itself would save a lot of lives and be helpful in developing targeted intervention strategies to control scrub typhus. Identifying occupational factors related to scrub typhus would help reduce its spread.	The authors would like to thank the reviewer for the comments.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, it is suitable	The authors would like to thank the reviewer for the comments.
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract mentions the study duration as 1 year, whereas Methodology mentions “study was done from December 2022 to May 2023”, which is only 6 months.	The authors thank the reviewer for the comments. As rightly pointed out by the reviewer, the study duration is now changed to six months in the abstract and the same has been highlighted in the manuscript.
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, it is scientifically correct	The authors would like to thank the reviewer for the comments.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Reference number 19 is incomplete	The authors thank the reviewer for the comment. As stated by reviewer, the reference 19 is made complete now.
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The term ‘bath daily’ should be changed to either ‘Daily bath’ or ‘bathe daily’ In the last para of Results: Change ‘This is in concordant’ to ‘This is in concordance’ In the last para of Results: Change ‘secondar care hospital in Chittoor’ to ‘secondary care hospital in Chittoor’ “Not taking bath everyday” is grammatically incorrect and can be changed to “not bathing daily”	The authors thank the reviewer for pointing out the grammatical errors. As suggested, all the changes have been made in the manuscript and same has been highlighted.
Optional/General comments	Give the full form of ‘AUF’ ‘formula shown above’ --- no formula given with regards to sample size	The AUF has been expanded in the manuscript. The words ‘formula shown above’ is removed from the manuscript.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	