

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Zoology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIZ_131150
Title of the Manuscript:	Population dynamics of Tetranychus urticae Koch. and associated predators in relation to certain ecological factors in sweet potato fields
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript "Population dynamics of <i>Tetranychus urticae</i> Koch. and associated predators in relation to certain ecological factors in sweet potato fields" provides valuable insights into the interactions between weather factors, the two-spotted spider mite (<i>T. urticae</i>) and its natural predators, which are important for pest management strategies. This research contributes to the broader field of integrated pest management (IPM) and offers practical knowledge for improving sustainable agricultural systems.	Noted and corrected
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title is clear and descriptive.	Ok
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract provides a good overview of the study, but it can be made more concise and clearer. (Suggestions were made in manuscript)	Revised
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically correct, but the author(s) should pay particular attention to the correctness of scientific names. It would also be useful to include additional details in the statistical analysis section, such as the calculation formula used, and the conclusions section is absent and should be added to complete the analysis. (Suggestions were made in manuscript)	Noted and corrected
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The linguistic quality of the article is adequate for scientific communication, but needs to be refined to ensure clarity, readability and proper grammatical structure. Correcting grammatical problems, improving sentence flow, ensuring consistency of terminology and improving overall readability will make the article more suitable for publication in a scientific journal. (Suggestions were made in manuscript)	Noted and corrected
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	