

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Zoology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIZ_131056
Title of the Manuscript:	Navigating Human-wildlife Conflict: Key Species, Impacts, Mitigation and Challenges in Himachal Pradesh
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is indeed important for the scientific community and layman resolution as it provides valuable insights of the human-wildlife conflict happening/happened in Himachal Pradesh over the years, offering a comprehensive look at key species, impacts, and mitigation strategies. It will contribute to the broader understanding of sustainable conservation efforts and reforming policies and address similar challenges in other regions as well	Thanks to the reviewer for positive comment
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is strong, but slight refinements could help narrow the focus and make the subject even more accessible to a wider audience.	Thanks for the valuable comment. But depending on the comments of both reviewers, we keep the results unchanged.
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The Abstract of the article is quite fine but some validations can be made as I suggested in article file to pin point the conflict sites and mapping it.	Thanks for the valuable suggestion. A map showing the location of study area added in revised manuscript.
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, it is.	Thanks for positive comment
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	As for reference there are certain mistake in the article body and in reference section, whole section need to be revise as per guidelines as mentioned in reviewed file	Thanks for valuable comment. Correction as per guidelines as mentioned in reviewed file are done. Kindly suggest if any other mistake found in the article.
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, it is.	Thanks for the positive comment
Optional/General comments	Authors need to made the corrections as suggested in reviewed file.	Thanks to the reviewer for the valuable comments. Corrections are made as suggested in reviewed file. If any other mistakes are found in the article kindly inform.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No