

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Zoology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIZ_131056
Title of the Manuscript:	Navigating Human-wildlife Conflict: Key Species, Impacts, Mitigation and Challenges in Himachal Pradesh
Type of the Article	Review

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.	Author’s Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is important for the scientific community as it provides understanding of various issues concerning human-wildlife conflicts in Himachal Pradesh including causes of the conflicts, main species involved, impacts of the conflicts, mitigation strategies employed as well as the challenges that hamper addressing human-wildlife conflicts.	Thanks to the reviewer for the positive comment
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is suitable as it is.	Thanks for the positive comment
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is fairly done. However, it could also have stated its main purpose.	Thanks for the positive comment
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically correct since it has included the main sections such as introduction, main text with discussion, conclusion and references.	Thanks for the positive comment
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references used are sufficient and relatively recent.	Thanks for the positive comment
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language/English quality of the article is suitable for the scholarly communication.	Thanks for the positive comment
Optional/General comments	The article is generally good. However, the author should consider use of same in text reference style as well as the reference list especially on the use of caps and volume and issue number.	Thanks for the valuable suggestion. Corrections are made according to suggestions.

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	no