

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_130352
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Evaluation of the Act of Infection Control in Health Care Setting: Knowledge, Observation and Practice of Standard Precautions Among Health-Care-Workers In Edo State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript addresses an important issue related to healthcare workers' adherence to infection control practices, specifically the standard precautions required to minimise exposure to bloodborne pathogens such as HIV, HBV, and HCV. The study is significant because it provides valuable insights into the knowledge, practices, and challenges faced by healthcare workers in Edo State, Nigeria, across primary, secondary, and tertiary healthcare settings. The findings contribute to understanding the gaps in infection control compliance and offer practical recommendations to improve safety standards in healthcare settings, particularly in resource-constrained environments like Nigeria	All in text corrections done and highlighted in yellows
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is clear.	Ok thanks for the comments
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract provides a good overview of the study, outlining the objectives, methods, results, and conclusions. However, it could benefit from a more concise and direct presentation of findings and a clearer conclusion.	This has been addressed and highlighted in yellow
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically sound, with clear objectives, methods, and data analysis. However, a more in-depth explanation of the statistical methods used would be helpful.	The methodology has be enhanced based on this recommendation and they are highlighted in yellow
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are adequate, but some are outdated. A few newer studies would help to better contextualize the findings within current research trends on infection control practices.  Add more recent references from the last 3-5 years that focus on infection control in healthcare workers, particularly from similar low-resource settings.	This has been addressed too
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language quality is generally good, but some sections can be reworded for clarity and readability. Sentence structures could be simplified in certain areas, particularly in the results and discussion sections.	Done thanks
Optional/Generalcomments	The manuscript provides important findings but would benefit from a more thorough discussion of the limitations, including potential biases in data collection.Also, while the study demonstrates gaps in infection control practices, it does not adequately discuss the practical implications of these findings for policy or future research.	Done and highlighted in yellow

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	