

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCS_130730
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Cattle Urine Application and Spray Frequencies on Okra Productivity and Soil Chemical Properties
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study is relevant, offering insights into sustainable farming practices using cattle urine as an organic fertilizer.	This manuscript highlights the potential of cattle urine as a sustainable organic fertilizer, enhancing okra growth, yield, and soil fertility. It provides valuable insights for agronomists and policymakers seeking eco-friendly alternatives to synthetic fertilizers. The findings support sustainable agriculture and encourage further research on organic fertilization strategies.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Suitable and aligns with the study content.	The new corrected title of our manuscript : Effect of Cattle Urine Application and Spray Frequencies on Okra Productivity and Soil Chemical Properties in Lamjung, Nepal.
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Well-written;	YES
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Methodology and analysis are sound and appropriate.	YES
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Adequate but should include more and region-specific studies.	There are total 15 references that supports and align with our research.
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		YES
Optional/General comments	Adding few more references can improve this article Abstract should be in one para instead of mentioning 6	

[Review Form 3](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	NO