

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCS_130730
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Cattle Urine Application and Spray Frequencies on Okra Productivity and Soil Chemical Properties
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		This manuscript highlights the potential of cattle urine as a sustainable organic fertilizer, enhancing okra growth, yield, and soil fertility. It provides valuable insights for agronomists and policymakers seeking eco-friendly alternatives to synthetic fertilizers. The findings support sustainable agriculture and encourage further research on organic fertilization strategies.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	NO. It should read: Effect of Cattle Urine Application and Spray Frequencies on Okra Productivity and Soil Chemical Properties in Lamjung, Nepal	The new corrected title of our manuscript : Effect of Cattle Urine Application and Spray Frequencies on Okra Productivity and Soil Chemical Properties in Lamjung, Nepal.
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	YES but the subtitles should be removed and it be written in continuous pros	The abstract is exactly written in the format provided by the journal's template.
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	YES However the last 2 sentences in the Conclusion subsection should be moved to a subtitle named “5.Recommendation”	YES
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	NO they are not sufficient. Let them be at least 15. Some new ones should be introduced in the discussion of the results as the study is compared with similar work done elsewhere e.g. cite literature that supports what is in the discussion that “The improved yield in treatments with higher cattle urine concentrations and frequent spraying can be attributed to better nutrient availability and uptake by the plants, which enhanced vegetative growth, flowering, and fruiting” The references in the text are however recent enough	There are total 15 references that supports and align with our research.
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	YES	YES
Optional/General comments	The paper is well written apart from the above noticed minor issues	YES

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	NO