

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCS_130469
Title of the Manuscript:	Agronomic Performance of Premium Quality Advanced Breeding Lines of Indica Rice
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript was well written and explained clearly about Agronomic Performance of Premium Quality Advanced Breeding Lines. In the current study, five premium quality rice was tested along with three existing premium quality rice. Considering the different agronomic parameters, it was concluded that the premium quality T ₃ = BR10648-12-1-3-4 and T ₄ = Zira (Nachol) yielded significantly higher than any other varieties of the experiment.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, comprehensive	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, correct	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are sufficient and recent.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Some changes in manuscript were made through Track Changes, pls go through it	
Optional/General comments	PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT	Comments of all the reviewers addressed in the revised version (Attached file: Original Manuscript_AJRCS_130469).

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	