

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCS_130065
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of the Effect of Solanum Melongena on some Coagulation parameter among Sudanese people in khartoum state 2021
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript would have contributed to knowledge if it was well written and arranged.	Thank you.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Good	Thank you.
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The author should follow the suggestions and make the corrections in the abstract	Thank you.
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, but requires a lot of modifications	Noted.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are not sufficient, more journal references should be cited.	Noted.
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	Okay.
Optional/General comments	<p>The author should source for related literature to update his work. It's important for the author to structure the work in a clear and organized scientific manner.</p> <p>PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT</p>	Corrections have been done accordingly.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	