

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_131267
Title of the Manuscript:	The synergistic Role of Machine Learning, Deep Learning, and Reinforcement Learning in Strengthening Cyber Security Measures for Crypto Currency Platforms
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>Overall Manuscript is acceptable with minor revisions <i>I recommend revising to</i></p> <p>Abstract:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Revising it to emphasize the broader impact and potential applications of the research would make it more compelling</p> <p>Methodology:</p> <p>Result:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Descriptive Statistics of Cryptocurrency Transactions using charts</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Shows the analysis of different ML algorithms on specific cryptocurrency datasets or all datasets which you used</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Explain the importance parameters used in tables and write their values in table</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Tables presented with columns as rows for clearer differentiation</p> <p>Writing and Presentation:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> While the writing is generally clear, the Methodology and Results sections are dense with technical jargon. Simplifying explanations or including a glossary for technical terms would make the paper more accessible to a wider audience</p>	<p>Thanks for the comments</p> <p>Noted and revised as per the suggestions</p>

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	