

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_130738
Title of the Manuscript:	A Comprehensive Review of Shortest Path Algorithms for Network Routing
Type of the Article	Review paper

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript thoroughly explores classical, heuristic, and hybrid shortest path algorithms, providing detailed explanations of their methodologies, advantages, and limitations.	Shortest path algorithm for network Routing
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	Yes
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Add Simulations or Case Studies Condense Redundant Sections: Avoid duplication in textual and tabular content by consolidating information.	I think it is suitable for article
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Well-Organized Content: The paper is systematically divided into sections such as background, literature review, algorithm classifications, and comparisons, making it easy to follow.	good
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Update References: Include more recent references and discussions to reflect the latest advancements in shortest path algorithm research.	I think these references for article review is enough
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language and Grammar: There are minor grammatical errors and awkward phrasing that could be improved for readability.	I will try correct it
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	