

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_130612
Title of the Manuscript:	A Review of Graph Traversal Algorithms: Techniques and Applications in Network Analysis
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	As it is mentioned in the title, it is a review paper but they have considered the review methods were the basic graph traversal algorithms such as BFS and DFS are well explained in the textbook of Data structures and algorithms and graph theory. But if considered for review means they should have taken more new graph traversal algorithms discussed by the researchers recently and they should compare it with experimentation.	Ok, Thanks reviewer.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is suitable.	Thanks reviewer.
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	If they had considered 5 to 6 algorithms for review, they would have written better abstracts with more details.	Ok, Thanks reviewer.
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	There is no measures have been used to check the efficiency of the algorithms.	Sorry, but the other reviewer said “yes” and I hope it not so problem.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Ok the references are sufficient	Thanks reviewer.
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	More improvement is needed as the research paper was written like a book chapter.	Sorry, but the other reviewer said “yes” and I hope it not so problem.
Optional/General comments	-	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	