

Review Form3

JournalName:	AsianJournalofResearchinComputerScience
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_AJRCOS_130580
TitleoftheManuscript:	AdaptiveSearchAlgorithms:AComprehensiveOverviewandEmergingOptimizationTrends
TypeoftheArticle	

PART1:Comments

	Reviewer’scomment	Author’s Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part inthemanuscript.Itismandatorythatauthorsshouldwritehis/herfeedback here)
Pleasewriteafewsentencesregardingtheimportance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<p>Thismanuscriptattemptstopresentacomprehensiveoverviewofadaptivesearchalgorithmsand their applications. While the topic is relevant and important for the scientific community, the manuscript falls short in several areas:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Theexplanationsofindividualalgorithmsaresuperficial,lackingdetailedtechnical descriptions or pseudocode to support understanding.• Theliteraturereviewisinsufficientlycritical,withalackofsynthesisofpriorworksto establish meaningful conclusions.• Formattingissues,suchasinconsistentlabelingofsections,figures,andtables,detractfrom readability.• Theexperimentalresultsarepoorlypresented,withinadequatecontextualizationand minimal discussion of their implications. <p>Overall,themanuscriptisnotcurrentlysuitableforpublicationduetothesesignificantshortcomings.</p>	Thanks reviewer
Isthetitleofthearticlesuitable? (Ifnotpleasesuggestanalternativetitle)	The titleisappropriatebutcouldbemoreprecisebyexplicitlymentioning“limitationsandfuture trends” to reflect the content more accurately.	Thanks reviewer
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggesttheaddition(ordeletion)ofsomepointsinthis section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract covers the key topics but fails to highlight the critical gaps or the innovative contributionsofthemanuscript.Theinclusionofspecificresearchchallengesandfuturedirections would strengthen the abstract.	Thanks reviewer I reviewed and fixed it
Isthemanuscriptscientifically,correct?Pleasewrite here.	Themanuscriptlackssufficientdepthinitsscientificanalysis.Descriptionsofalgorithmsarebasic, and the experimental section does not provide rigorous evaluation or comparative analysis.	Thanks reviewer I reviewed and fixed it
Arethereferencesufficientandrecent?Ifyouhave suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Referencesarenumerousbutlackdepthintermsofrecentadvancements.Incorporatingmoreup-to- date studies on adaptive algorithms, particularly those focusing on hybrid models and real-world applications, is recommended.	Thanks reviewer
Isthelanguage/Englishqualityofthearticlesuitable for scholarly communications?	Thelanguageisgenerallyunderstandablebutcontainsseveralgrammaticalandsyntacticalerrors.A professional proofreading service is suggested.	Thanks reviewer I reviewed and fixed it
Optional/Generalcomments		

Review Form3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	