

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_130460
Title of the Manuscript:	Routing Algorithms: A Comprehensive Review of Classification and Security Issues in MANET
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript offers a remarkable contribution to the field by suggesting novel methods that integrate the merits of both proactive and reactive routing strategies, seeking to enhance speed while minimizing overhead. The thorough analysis of these hybrid algorithms, exploring their mechanisms, limitations, and security benefits, is well-structured and presents valuable insights into their potential effectiveness. Moreover, the discussion of their application across various domains contributes to practical value, making this work a meaningful addition to the literature on network routing algorithms.	Thanks reviewer
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Enhancing Network Performance: A Comprehensive Analysis of Hybrid Routing Algorithms.	I changed the title like you want
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	I recommend that the abstract should include more specific details about the novelty of the work.	Thanks reviewer
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is technically accurate, but minor clarifications and enhancements are needed for better clarity.	Thanks reviewer
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	I recommend incorporating more recent studies.	Thank you for your attention to this matter, I add other, [45],[46],[47]
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language and quality of English in the article are generally appropriate for academic communication. However, there are certain areas that require improvement, particularly regarding grammar and sentence structure.	Thanks reviewer
Optional/General comments	In Table 5, "Summary of Related Work," I suggest adding an additional column to highlight how the author addresses the limitations of each referenced paper. This would provide a clearer understanding of how the current work builds upon or improves upon existing research.	I did it

[Review Form 3](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	