

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_130435
Title of the Manuscript:	Detecting Diabetic Retinopathy Using Machine Learning Algorithms: A Review
Type of the Article	Review

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This article provides a comprehensive study of the work done in the field of diabetic retinopathy detection using machine learning and artificial intelligence. This article discusses about different machine learning techniques used in recent years for detecting such a severe illness. Users will get good information about this field.	Thank you for your kind and encouraging feedback on the article. I am glad to hear that you found the study comprehensive and informative. Your positive remarks motivate me to continue contributing to research in this important field.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title of this article is appropriate. And it matches with the respective domain.	Thanks Reviewer
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	There are some grammatical mistakes present in the abstract section. Also, the author has mentioned "research" article in line number 9. As this is a review article therefore, the typo must be fixed.	Thank you for pointing out the grammatical mistakes and the oversight in the abstract section. I have carefully reviewed the abstract and corrected the grammatical errors. Additionally, I have updated the wording in line number 9 to correctly reflect that this is a review article rather than a research article.
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, the manuscript sounds technically correct, as it has provided a brief study of the respective field.	Thanks Reviewer
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The number of references is sufficient, but some of the references are from the year 2020. So, the author should include references from the recent years.	Thank you for your valuable feedback. I appreciate your suggestion regarding the inclusion of more recent references. I will review the existing references and incorporate additional citations from the most recent years to ensure the work reflects the latest advancements and studies in the field.
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Some of the words are difficult to understand, I would suggest author to use simple and easy language to understand. Grammatical errors (such as sub-point 3.3 it should be semi-supervised) are present in the manuscript, a careful revision must be done by the author.	Thank you for your feedback. I have revised the manuscript to simplify the language and ensure it is easier to understand. Additionally, I have corrected the grammatical errors, including the issue in sub-point 3.3, where "semi-supervised" has been corrected. The changes have been highlighted in the revised manuscript for your review.
Optional/General comments	Overall, the manuscript is good, some changes must be done by author such as grammatical errors and author should include more recent references instead of old references.	Thank you for your positive feedback and helpful suggestions. I have thoroughly reviewed the manuscript and added more recent and relevant references to complement the existing ones. Additionally, I have corrected the grammatical errors as suggested. The updates have been incorporated into the revised manuscript for your review.

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	There are no ethical issues associated with this manuscript."