

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJRCOS_130424 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Bridging Gaps in Cybersecurity Governance: Leveraging Collaborative Digital Solutions |
| Type of the Article | |

PART 1: Comments

| | Reviewer’s comment | Author’s Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|--|---|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | This manuscript addresses a critical issue in the educational sector—cybersecurity governance—by focusing on collaborative digital solutions. The educational sector, often overlooked in cybersecurity discussions, faces unique challenges such as limited resources, fragmented systems, and evolving regulatory requirements. By highlighting these gaps and proposing solutions, this study makes a valuable contribution to the scientific community. It provides actionable insights that can inform policy, enhance institutional resilience, and foster collaborative approaches to mitigating cybersecurity threats. | Thanks for the comments |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | The title is suitable as it captures the core focus of the manuscript. | Thanks for the comments |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | The abstract is comprehensive and effectively outlines the study's objectives, methods, findings, and recommendations. Suggestions for Improvement: <ul style="list-style-type: none">Include a brief mention of the datasets used.Highlight key recommendations more succinctly to emphasize their importance. | Ok noted and revised |
| Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here. | The manuscript appears scientifically accurate, with rigorous methodology, clear statistical analysis, and logical conclusions. | Done |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. | The references are sufficient, diverse, and recent, covering both foundational and contemporary works in cybersecurity governance. | Ok |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | The manuscript is well-written and suitable for scholarly communication. | |
| Optional/General comments | Expand the discussion on limitations and future research directions to provide a balanced perspective. | Done |

Review Form 3

PART 2:

| | | |
|--|--|---|
| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |