

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_130423
Title of the Manuscript:	Overview of Algorithms for Image Recognition
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript reviews traditional and deep learning algorithms for image recognition, highlighting applications in healthcare, security, and autonomous systems. It bridges foundational methods with modern techniques, offering valuable insights for researchers and practitioners.	Thanks Reviewer
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is appropriate but could be more specific, e.g., "A Comprehensive Review of Traditional and Deep Learning Algorithms for Image Recognition."	Thanks Reviewer
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive but should include key findings and applications for better impact.	The text effectively summarizes the paper's focus on image recognition technologies, highlighting both theoretical advancements and practical implications. With minor improvements in explanation and organization, it could serve as an excellent introduction to the topic for a broad audience.
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically robust but could include more practical examples in the RNN section.	The manuscript is scientifically accurate. This article clearly summarized the algorithms for image recognition and presents the findings related to this field. Additionally, the concept is thoroughly discussed in the research.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are sufficient but could benefit from more recent citations on vision transformers.	Thanks Reviewer
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The author has used English with correct grammar and clarity throughout the article.	Thanks Reviewer
Optional/General comments	No indications of plagiarism. No ethical issues noted	Thanks Reviewer

[Review Form 3](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	