

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Biochemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRB_130346
Title of the Manuscript:	GREEN SYNTHESIS OF METAL COMPLEXES OF THIAZOLE-BASED SCHIFF BASES: A REVIEW
Type of the Article	Review article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The review discusses a greener approach of producing metal complexes of thiazole-based Schiff bases, which has numerous benefits than conventional synthesis techniques because of the 12 lofty goals of green chemistry. Microwave-assisted synthesis, plant-mediated synthesis, microbial synthesis, solvent-free processes, and biocatalysis were among the methods covered.	It pinpoints research gap with regards to green synthesis of metal complexes of thiazole-based Schiff bases. The research gap identified will provide an opportunity for researchers to explore. The insights from this manuscript will contribute to the development of literature in this interesting area of research.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	A more comprehensive summary of the study should have been included in the abstract, which could have been a bit more extensive.	I think this abstract is quite explicit as it gives a good overview of the paper by providing summary of green synthesis methods for metal complexes of thiazole-based Schiff bases, along with the advantages, challenges, and future perspectives of these approaches. A lengthy abstract might obscure the salient points an abstract is meant to convey.
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The article seems to be factual, methodologically sound, and written in a comprehensible and succinct way.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and recent. However, they are not arranged in an alphabetical order in the last section of the article.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	Addition of a few more keywords in the abstract section would be helpful in getting a global reach to the manuscript.	Most journals restrict the number of keywords to six. If this journal allows it, am ready to add more.

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	