

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Research in Biochemistry |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJRB_130327 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Assessment of the Seed Health Status of Selected Leafy and Fruit Vegetables in Bangladesh |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|--|---|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | The manuscript presents important findings for the scientific community, particularly in the field of agricultural science and plant pathology. At the same time, it is important for the agriculture community to evaluate the health and quality of loose leafy and fruit vegetable seeds. Specifically, the study sought to detect the prevalence of seed-borne fungi associated with loose seeds of selected vegetables and to assess the seed health status of these vegetable crops. | Okay |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | Yes, the title of the article is suitable. | Noted |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive. | Noted |
| Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here. | Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct. | Okay |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. | No, author should add recent references. | Improved |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Yes, the language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications. | |
| Optional/General comments | - | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |