

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Biochemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRB_130049
Title of the Manuscript:	Zinc and Copper Levels among Hypertensive Subjects in Port Harcourt, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Review Articl

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>  
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is crucial for the scientific community as it explores the roles of zinc and copper in the pathophysiology of hypertension. Both zinc and copper are essential trace elements involved in various biological processes, and their imbalances have been linked to the development and progression of high blood pressure. By investigating their specific mechanisms of action, this work could provide new insights into potential therapeutic approaches for managing hypertension.	Thanks for the comments
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	Thanks for the comments
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes,	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Need more number of references.	Thanks for the comments
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	Thanks for the comments
Optional/General comments	PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT	Noted and revised as per the suggestions

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	