

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Biochemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRB_125658
Title of the Manuscript:	Chemical Composition and Storage Study of Debittered Orange-Seed Flour
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The research is good and discusses an important issue, both on the environmental and nutritional levels, in terms of protecting the environment from agricultural waste, such as orange seeds, and also benefiting from them and directing them towards their use in many products, especially bakery products, and this must be encouraged in the future.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	Thanks
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is good	Thanks
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The search tables need better adjust.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This article is scientifically good and in the future it can be a reference for researchers in the same field due to the importance of the raw material used, which are orange seeds flour.	Noted and effected
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are pretty good.	Revision made
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Suitable	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	