

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Animal and Veterinary Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAVS_130818
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF OESTRUS SYNCHRONISATION ON REPRODUCTIVE TRAITS IN MERINO SHEEP USING COMBINATIONS OF INTRAVAGINAL SPONGES, ESTRUMATE AND PREGNANT MARE SERUM GONADOTROPIN FOR ARTIFICIAL INSEMINATION
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This is one of the important topics and has lot of importance in sheep breeding programmes.	It helps the framer to schedule their management, health care and target sales of the lambs of the same age to the market.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Suitable	yes
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The treatment groups B and D does not match with that of the material and methods. TRT B Sponges were kept only for 12 days and not 14 days. While as TRB D group the sponges were kept for 13 days rather than 12 days. (Please see you diagrams on treatment protocols).	Corrected
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The dose rate of PMSG/eCG should be mentioned. It has not been mentioned anywhere in the manuscript.	Included
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Sufficient	yes
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English	yes
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<div>The dose rate of PMSG/eCG should be mentioned. It has not been mentioned anywhere in the manuscript. It is important to mention the dose rate as it has effect on the synchronization rates and fertility.</div> <div>The manuscript may be accepted after the major revision is done. The discussion part of the manuscript along with the material and methods is poorly written.</div>	Corrected

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	I corrected all the errors and improved my writing skills.