

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAF_130728
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Palm Oil Market Dynamics in Ogbadibo Local Government Area of Benue State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This study contributes significantly to the scientific field by presenting novel insights into the participation of women in palm oil commerce and the elements influencing palm oil agribusiness within Nigeria.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The current title of the article has been frequently utilized by other researchers. Alternative titles proposed: 1. Assessment of Palm Oil Market Trends in Ogbadibo Local Government Area, Benue State, Nigeria 2. Evaluation of Palm Oil Market Dynamics in Ogbadibo Local Government Area, Benue State, Nigeria”	Evaluation of Palm Oil Market Dynamics in Ogbadibo Local Government Area, Benue State, Nigeria”
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	While the abstract provides a thorough overview, it fails to include information about the research methodology employed in the study.	The research employs a public opinion survey using well-structured questionnaire and interview schedule to achieve the specific objectives.
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	This research paper presents a scientific investigation that enhances our understanding and knowledge of palm oil marketing and challenges in Nigeria.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The manuscript's reference list is inadequate and outdated; the authors need to incorporate more recent sources. The discussion lacks proper support from empirical evidence, as it fails to include sufficient citations to back up the research findings.	The manuscript's reference list was made adequate and updated. The discussion was supported with empirical evidence, and included with sufficient citations to back up the research findings.
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Numerous grammatical mistakes are present throughout the paper, particularly in the results and discussion portions, rendering it unsuitable for academic publication.	Numerous grammatical mistakes were corrected to make the work suitable for academic publication.
Optional/General comments	The document requires substantial revisions before it can be considered for potential publication. The authors must thoroughly revise several key sections, including the abstract, introduction, research methodology, results and discussion, conclusion and recommendations, as well as the reference list.	The authors thoroughly revised several key sections, including the abstract, introduction, research methodology, results and discussion, conclusion and recommendations, as well as the reference list.

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	The authors thoroughly revised several key sections, including the abstract, introduction, research methodology, results and discussion, conclusion and recommendations, as well as the reference list.