

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAF_130219
Title of the Manuscript:	AGRONOMIC PRACTICES ADOPTED BY THE MAIZE (Zea mays) FARMERS IN HOROWPATHANA DS DIVISION IN ANURADHAPURA DISTRICT IN SRI LANKA: A CASE STUDY
Type of the Article	Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript provides valuable insights into the challenges and agronomic practices of maize farmers in Sri Lanka's Horowpathana area. It highlights key issues like fertilizer use, herbicide application, adverse weather conditions, and financial constraints, offering a foundation for improving farm productivity and sustainability. The findings can help policymakers and researchers improve maize farming and food security in the region.	Thank you for your valuable comments. In my manuscript, I corrected all of the mistakes that were noticed by the reviewer.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is informative but could be more impactful if it made little concise.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive but could benefit from more focused language and a clearer emphasis on the study's implications.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically sound but may require minor revisions for clarity and precision.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references cited in the manuscript are mostly sufficient to support the claims made. However, to further enhance the manuscript, it may be beneficial to include a few additional recent sources.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language and English quality of the article are generally suitable for scholarly communication, with clear descriptions and appropriate use of technical terminology. However, some sentences could be rephrased for improved clarity and flow.	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	