

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pregnancy and Childbirth
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPCB_130759
Title of the Manuscript:	Socio-Demographic Factors and Health Behaviors as Predictors of Anemia Status Among Pregnant Women: A Cross-Sectional Study at a Regional Hospital in Ghana
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Anaemia in expectant women remains a severe concern that has not been satisfactorily addressed in numerous nations, despite the publication of numerous articles on the subject. Consequently, the findings presented in this article may be the most recent empirically relevant information for a variety of groups.	We sincerely appreciate your insightful comments and recognition of the significance of our study. Indeed, anemia in expectant women remains a major public health concern, and we aimed to provide updated, empirically relevant findings to contribute to ongoing efforts in addressing this issue. We acknowledge your suggestion to further elaborate on the contextual factors influencing anemia prevalence, including dietary patterns, healthcare accessibility, and socioeconomic determinants. In response, we have incorporated a more detailed discussion on these aspects in the revised manuscript. Additionally, we have expanded on the policy implications of our findings to enhance their applicability for healthcare providers and policymakers. Your feedback has been invaluable in refining our work, and we believe these revisions strengthen the overall impact of our study. We appreciate your time and effort in reviewing our manuscript and look forward to any further suggestions you may have.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is suitable for its content	Thank you
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is adequate to provide a summary of the content; however, the aim section should enhance its writing by employing sentence case.	Thank you
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	This manuscript can be considered scientifically valid due to the presentation of a variety of analysis results.	We sincerely appreciate your positive assessment of our manuscript and recognition of our findings' scientific validity. Your acknowledgment of the robustness of our analysis reassures us that our study contributes meaningfully to the existing body of knowledge. Should you have any additional suggestions for improvement, we would be happy to incorporate them further to enhance the clarity and impact of our work.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The number of references employed is adequate; however, it would be preferable to replace references to systematic reviews that were conducted a decade ago with the most recent findings.	This is okay for us.
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English writing in this article is consistent with academic communication; however, the research subject is not necessarily included in the sentence in certain instances.	Thank you
Optional/General comments	PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	