

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pregnancy and Childbirth
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPCB_130759
Title of the Manuscript:	Socio-Demographic Factors and Health Behaviors as Predictors of Anemia Status Among Pregnant Women: A Cross-Sectional Study at a Regional Hospital in Ghana
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This is important because many women in developing economies are still suffering unnecessarily from anemia, especially during pregnancy, due to unknown factors. This critical and important to the scientific community	Thank you
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Current title is very long. Can be shortened as suggested below: Some factors and predictors of Anemia Status Among Pregnant Women: A Cross-Sectional Study at a Regional Hospital in Ghana	This would not reflect this current purpose of the study.
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes it is comprehensive enough	Thank you and comments
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes it is scientifically correct	Thank you
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Has many relatively old references that is before 2019 which are 17 . This is about 40% old references. There is need to have more recent references so that at least only about 5 are older than2019.	I do not have recent citation.
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes language is fine	Thank you
Optional/General comments	No plagiarism suspected	Thank you

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	