

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_131029
Title of the Manuscript:	BEYOND THE BARS: EXPLORING PRE-SERVICE TEACHERS' UNDERSTANDING OF HISTOGRAM
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is important to the scientific community in many ways, which include; It brings to light the pre-service teachers' phenomena of superficial comprehension of statistical concept and lack of profound conceptual knowledge. It serve as reference document for more research into effective ways of reading and interpreting statistical charts The manuscript fills a gap within the ways of teaching and learning the mathematical concept of statistics	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is quite suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is comprehensive	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The structure and subsections of the manuscript are appropriate, as well the method of data collection and analysis. The manuscripts adhere to research structure, pattern and act. It is technically sound following it thematic analytic pattern used in analysing and interpreting it data. Also, the simplicity of it language structure and presentation is encouraging.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	With 22 references, the manuscript is robust	

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language/ English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communication	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Plagiarism is not observed There are no competing interest issues in the manuscript I observed no ethical issues in the manuscript PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT	<div>1. The y in the concluding section of the abstract has been removed.</div> <div>2. The comment to remove the ‘and’ in the first line of manuscript has been replied. I think if the word ‘and’ is removed the sentence will lose its meaning.</div> <div>3. There was a comment to rearrange the entire references to follow APA style (ii) be arrange in alphabetical order.<ul style="list-style-type: none">Please in the manuscript submission guide for word paper template, it was stated that ‘References must be listed at the end of the manuscript and numbered in the order that they appear in the text’.Also a sample was provided as:Hilly, M., Adams, M. L., & Nelson, S. C. (2002). A study of digit fusion in the mouse embryo. Clinical and Experimental Allergy, 32(4), 489-498. However, i have numbered the references in the order as they appear in the text. If i have to switch back to APA style, i can gladly do that.</div>

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	