

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_128462
Title of the Manuscript:	STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH NON-COMPLIANCE WITH EPIDEMIOLOGICAL STANDARDS IN THE BOKORO HEALTH ZONE FROM 2021 TO 2023
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This study analyzes non-compliance with epidemiological standards in the Bokoro Health Zone from 2021-2023, highlighting factors that contribute to this issue. The findings are relevant to public health efforts in rural areas, emphasizing the need for better training, resource allocation, and standardized procedures. The recommendations could aid in reducing morbidity and mortality in similar settings, providing valuable insights for policymakers.	Noted
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is clear and appropriately reflects the study's scope.	ok
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract summarizes the study's purpose, methods, and findings effectively. Suggestions: Add a line about the specific statistical significance of key results (e.g., chi-square outcomes) to strengthen the abstract.	Title revised
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically robust, using univariate and bivariate analyses to determine significant factors associated with non-compliance. Feedback: Results are presented clearly with appropriate statistical methods.	ok
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are sufficient and relevant but could include more recent publications to strengthen the discussion.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is understandable but would benefit from minor grammatical corrections and rephrasing for better scholarly communication.	
Optional/General comments	The study contributes significantly to public health research by addressing operational, cultural, and socio-demographic barriers to compliance. It could benefit from an expanded discussion comparing findings with global studies. Minor revisions needed for language and recent references)	Effectuated revision

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	