

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Chemical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOCS_131091
Title of the Manuscript:	STUDIES ON THE TRADITIONAL AND PHYTOCHEMICAL USE OF KIGELIA AFRICANA (LAM.) BENTH LEAVES HARVESTED IN MALI
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.	Author’s Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I have to thank the authors for their study, which is a contribution to the scientific community to evaluate the flora of Mali, They have well organised their work, one part is considered for ethnobotany and the other part for argumenta the use of this plant by different tests	Thanks you
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	STUDIES ON THE TRADITIONAL AND PHYTOCHEMICAL USE OF KIGELIA AFRICANA (LAM.) BENTH LEAVES HARVESTED IN MALI I prefer: STUDIES ON THE TRADITIONAL USE AND PHYTOCHEMICAL OF KIGELIA AFRICANA (LAM.) BENTH LEAVES extracts, HARVESTED IN MALI	The study of traditional use relates to the plant and not to the extract of the leaves of the plant.
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the abstract is well structured	Ok
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	For my opinion : the manuscript are simplest and well formulated	Ok
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	This part are not very suitable: if we see the majority of the reference are older, I suggest that they have to incorporate some recent works to their article	Ok
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes the language used is sufficiently simple to be understanding	Ok
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The article would have been more consistent by having to carry out more tests.	Ok

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	No