

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Chemical Sciences |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJOCS_130903 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | EXTRACTION AND GC-MS ANALYSIS OF OIL FROM RED POTATO PEELS (SOLANUM TUBEROSUM) |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

PART 1: Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|---|---|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | The manuscript does not discuss the unique characteristics of red potato peels (Solanum tuberosum), indicating a need for further investigation. Additionally, there is no scientific analysis of the results. | This is not the purpose of research. |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | The article's title is inappropriate because it reveals the tools used. | I disagree |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | The abstract lacks comprehensiveness and gives the impression of merely describing a method. Abstracts should reflect current developments and trends. It would benefit from improvements to better convey its relevance and clarity. | I strongly disagree. |
| Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here. | The manuscript does not provide a scientific explanation of the research conducted. | I strongly disagree with this reviewer. |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. | The manuscript lacks literature related to the background to which the research was conducted. | This is because it is a novel work. |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | English still needs to be improved. | That has been done. |
| Optional/General comments | | |

Review Form 3

PART 2:

| | | |
|--|--|---|
| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |