

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Chemical Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOCS_130841
Title of the Manuscript:	On The QSPR Analysis of Diabetic Nephropathy with the Degree-Based Topological Indices
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

#### PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is important for the scientific community as the diabetic nephropathy is a common and life threatening disease. The chemical properties of the drugs involved along with proper technical analysis will help the health provider with a better judgement during the treatment	I thank for the time and effort taken for reviewing.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	I thank for the time and effort taken for reviewing.
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It is comprehensive	I thank for the time and effort taken for reviewing.
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes The discussion part needs to be elaborated along the lines of the positive findings so that the reader can make sense regarding the utilities of the results.	I thank for the time and effort taken for reviewing. But, since the utilities of the results needs clinical test, only the QSPR analysis of the chemicals in the drugs are compared.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	yes	I thank for the time and effort taken for reviewing.
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	I thank for the time and effort taken for reviewing.
Optional/General comments		

#### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	