

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Biology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_130398
Title of the Manuscript:	Development and Evaluation of Fenoprofen Calcium loaded Proniosomal Gel for Topical Anti-inflammatory activity
Type of the Article	Research Article

**General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.  
To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

**Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>  
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The author chosen low solubility drug to enhance the solubility thus absorbance through novel drug delivery system Proniosomal gel is novel technique for topical delivery to relieve pain	Thank you for justifying the importance of our submitted manuscript
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	Thank you
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	In abstract the author mentioned optimization was done using 3 <sup>2</sup> factorial designs. But in manuscript it was not there. Selection of best formulation was done using some other characterization. It is not clearly mentioned	Thank you for bringing into the notice. The abstract has been corrected in the revised manuscript.
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes. Its correct	Thank you
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Can quote recent references	Thank you for your suggestions. Recent references have been quoted in the revised manuscript
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Can correct the grammatical mistakes	Thank you for your valuable suggestion. The grammatical mistakes have been corrected in the revised manuscript.
Optional/General comments	Authors are instructed to make following modification in the manuscript 1. Should avoid starting with abbreviations. 2. Drug name should be mentioned in same manner 3. Should quote reference for all studies	Nil

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No