

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_130398
Title of the Manuscript:	Development and Evaluation of Fenoprofen Calcium loaded Proniosomal Gel for Topical Anti-inflammatory activity
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article focuses on vesicular drug delivery and its importance in formulation field.	Thank you for your valuable comment on the importance of the manuscript.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	Thank you
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	Thank you
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	Thank you
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	Thank you
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	Thank you
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	It is mentioned as coacervation and phase separation method but it is coacervation-phase separation. Alignment of conclusion is not proper	Thank you for bringing into the notice. The mistake has been corrected in the revised manuscript.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No