

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_130398
Title of the Manuscript:	Development and Evaluation of Fenoprofen Calcium loaded Proniosomal Gel for Topical Anti-inflammatory activity
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This article may be beneficial to scientific society as a detailed discussion of the nano-drug delivery system.	Thank you for valuable comment on the importance of the manuscript.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	Thank you
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Improve the abstract, as it must contain the background, aim, method, result, and conclusion of the studies.	Thank you for your suggestion. The abstract has been revised in the revised manuscript which contains background, aim, method, results and conclusion of the studies.
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	Thank you
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Need to add some latest references.	Thank you for the suggestion. Recent references have been included in the revised manuscript
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Grammatic mistakes need to improve.	Thank you for the comment. Grammatical mistakes have been improved in the revised manuscript.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No