

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_130225
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of mineral fertilizers and environment on productivity and nitrogen fixation of six groundnut (Arachis hypogaea L.) genotypes
Type of the Article	manuscript

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Vertical expansion and maximum productivity can be achieved for any crop through the use of appropriate agricultural practices. In addition, the clear role of agricultural processes, such as the use of promising genetic patterns as well as levels of fertilization, have a very deterministic effect on growth, yield, properties and chemical components of groundnut yield.	Thanks for the comments.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	alternative title Impact of mineral fertilizers and environment on productivity and nitrogen fixation of six groundnut (Arachis hypogaea L.) genotypes	Done
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Recomindation should written. It could be recommended that mineral fertilizing groundnut In Zinder, the best performing genotypes were Samnut in the F0 treatment, Yar Aguié in the F1 treatment and RRB in the F2 treatment. to recorded highest productivity under the effects of mineral fertilization and variant environmental conditions.	Thanks for the comments.
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript scientifically, very correct	Thanks for the comments.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	All of the references sufficient and recent	Thanks for the comments.
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is well written and appropriate and good vocabulary is used.	Ok
Optional/General comments	There are no additional comments	Ok

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	