

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_130602
Title of the Manuscript:	Labor Analgesia and Its Impact on Maternal and Neonatal Outcomes: Balancing Benefits, Risks, and Unresolved Questions
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/> Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Labor analgesia is the gold standard of pain relief during normal vaginal delivery but there are some concerns over people including patient herself.so a safe and effective method of labor analgesia is needed to be addressed which will be both beneficial for patient and labor analgesia service provider.with this study it will clear for everyone.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title is okay.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is okay but may need to address what unresolved questions are there and what is the way to resolve these.	Added to FINDINGS section of abstract: <i>While early observational studies suggested a link between epidural analgesia and increased cesarean section rates, more recent randomized controlled trials and meta-analyses indicate that when administered during the active phase of labor, epidural analgesia does not significantly increase cesarean rates.</i>  I believe this would address the suggestion and has improved the abstract accordingly.
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yeah it is scientifically correct.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Study may contain reference of CSE Technique, walking epidural technique.	

**Review Form 3**

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language is suitable.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This is a good study...it will beneficial for both patient community and labor analgesia providers..this study will definitely make some impact where people are not convinced to take labor analgesia..hope for the best.....thank you.	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	