

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_130571
Title of the Manuscript:	The Role of Psyllium and Methylcellulose in Lowering LDL Cholesterol in Type 2 Diabetes Patients
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This study is particularly valuable for its evidence-based recommendation on the use of dietary fibers in managing lipid profiles, making it an essential contribution to the field of diabetes care and cardiovascular risk reduction.	Thank you for your review
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is appropriate and effectively communicates the manuscript's core content.	Thank you for your review
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive and summarizes the objectives, methods, results, and conclusions effectively. However, including more specific details about the tolerability results would further enhance the abstract’s impact.	Thank you for your review. I have corrected it as suggested
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically and technically sound. The use of systematic reviews and meta-analyses ensures credible results. However, the discussion could benefit from elaborating on long-term implications and cost-effectiveness of the interventions.	Thank you for your review. I have corrected it as suggested
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are adequate, recent, and relevant to the topic. No additional references are required at this time.	Thank you for your review.
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is suitable for scholarly communication. Minor grammatical adjustments in the discussion section could improve clarity.	Thank you for your review. I have corrected it as suggested
Optional/General comments	<p>The study would be more impactful if it included a patient-centered perspective, such as direct patient-reported outcomes or long-term compliance data.</p> <p>No evidence of plagiarism was detected. No competing interest issues were found. No ethical concerns were identified in the manuscript.</p>	Thank you for your review.

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	