

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_129892
Title of the Manuscript:	Vaccination status and knowledge of viral Hepatitis B: A World Hepatitis Day survey
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is important to the scientific community as it shed light on knowledge of hepatitis B vaccine and uniquely found out that women knowledge was higher than men’s, this is important as Hepatitis B vertical transmission is concern.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Knowledge of Hepatitis B and Vaccination Status	Title revised
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The result part lacks inferential statistics; also, the use of the word “coverage” in the place of “immunity” has been noted in the manuscript and highlighted in comments body of the document. The mean age was captured, and SD is lacking – to be added. Check for (Hepatitis B virus infected) shortened as HBsAg – which is correctly Hepatitis surface Antigen. 98-100% coverage should be immunity as the measurement is not for proportion of people vaccinated but the protection that it provides. Under methodology, Hepatitis C was mentioned alongside B and the topic is about awareness and vaccination status of HBV, there is no registered vaccine for HCV, hence this has to be removed.	Effected revision
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, it is scientifically correct.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Some of references are as old as 25 years ago like the study conducted in 2000 investigating the health workers acceptance of hepatitis B. The practice is expected to have widely changed. Health workers of two decades ago are the ones of today, hence the authors are advised to look for more recent evidence, noting that Hepatitis B bank of knowledge is growing every. Much of the literature focused much on the work done in Nigeria, however the authors should explore more from the rest of Africa, Asia and pacific which are high endemic areas. Referencing style to be considered.	Done revision
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.	Thanks
Optional/General comments	The manuscript is worth publication for wider sharing among the scientific community	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	