

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Immunology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJI_131451
Title of the Manuscript:	Endotyping Cellular and Humoral Immunoreactivity against Pollen and Citrus Fruits in patients with Non-IgE-mediated Rhinoconjunctivitis.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.	Author’s Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">The manuscript explores non-IgE-mediated allergic rhinoconjunctivitis, focusing on immunoreactivity to citrus allergens and pollen.It assesses Tube Titration of Precipitins (TTP) and Leukocyte Adherence Inhibition Test (LAIT) as tools for distinguishing cellular and humoral immune responses.The study highlights cross-reactivity between pollen and citrus fruits, which is significant in understanding fruit-pollen syndrome.Findings suggest that LAIT provides better differentiation of immunoreactivity compared to TTP, making it a potential diagnostic tool.The research contributes to precision medicine by identifying biomarkers for hypersensitivity and guiding personalized allergy treatment.It emphasizes the need for alternative diagnostic approaches beyond traditional IgE-based tests, especially for patients with inconclusive skin tests.The study also supports the use of immunological endotyping to classify different allergic responses, improving targeted therapies.	Thank you very much for your positive comments.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	Thank you very much for your positive comments.
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	Thank you very much for your positive comments.
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	Thank you very much for your positive comments.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	Thank you very much for your positive comments.
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	Thank you very much for your positive comments.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	