

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Geographical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJGR_130261
Title of the Manuscript:	Examining the Efficacy of Compensation blueprints for Livestock, Human, and Crop losses in Zimbabwe’s Human-Wildlife Conflict Hotspots.
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is important for the scientific community as it is providing us with the understanding of various challenges that face compensations for human, livestock and crop losses as a result of human-wildlife conflicts in Zimbabwe. It also proposes how these challenges can be tackled to ensure human and wildlife coexistence especially in areas adjacent to wild animals’ habitats.	Thank you.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title of the article is suitable and can remain as it is.	Thank you.
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is fairly good. However, it could have also included how data was analysed and presented.	Suggestion attended to.
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically correct as it has captures various sections like abstract, literature review, methodology, results and discussions, conclusions and recommendations. However, I think conclusions should have come before recommendations.	Conclusion shift attended as suggested.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and recent.	Noted.
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communication.	Thank you.
Optional/General comments	The article is generally good and detailed.	Thank you.

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	