

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Geographical Research |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJGR_130261 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Examining the Efficacy of Compensation blueprints for Livestock, Human, and Crop losses in Zimbabwe's Human-Wildlife Conflict Hotspots. |
| Type of the Article | |

PART 1: Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | The manuscript is important for the scientific community as it is providing us with the understanding of various challenges that face compensations for human, livestock and crop losses as a result of human-wildlife conflicts in Zimbabwe. It also proposes how these challenges can be tackled to ensure human and wildlife coexistence especially in areas adjacent to wild animals' habitats. | Thank you. |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | Yes, the title of the article is suitable and can remain as it is. | Thank you. |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | The abstract is fairly good. However, it could have also included how data was analysed and presented. | Suggestion attended to. |
| Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here. | The manuscript is scientifically correct as it has captures various sections like abstract, literature review, methodology, results and discussions, conclusions and recommendations. However , I think conclusions should have come before recommendations. | Conclusion shift attended as suggested. |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. | The references are sufficient and recent. | Noted. |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | The language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communication. | Thank you. |
| <u>Optional/General</u> comments | The article is generally good and detailed. | Thank you. |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|----------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |