

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_130778
Title of the Manuscript:	Water Quality Assesment of Hashidu Reservoir Along River Gongola, Gombe State Nigeria.
Type of the Article	Original Research

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Water quality assessment of lakes and rivers is a crucial part to protect aquatic life. Today globally water is being polluted in one form or other and to sustain fisheries and protecting aquatic flora and fauna it is the need of the hour to analyse it frequently to contain the future damage to our natural resources.	Noted
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title of the article is suitable.	Ok
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive.	Thanks
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct and experimentation part is nicely done.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, the references are recent and sufficient for the manuscript.	Noted
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.	Ok
Optional/General comments	NIL	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	