

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_130540
Title of the Manuscript:	Isolation and Identification of Bacteria Associated with Commercially Hawked Ready-To-Eat Fried Fish Sold in Jos Metropolis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	1.The manuscript titled “Isolation and Identification of Bacteria Associated with Commercially Hawked Ready-To-Eat Fried Fish Sold in Jos Metropolis” is a well-written, interesting, and scientifically merit work under the special issue of the synbiotic bacteria fish normal flora species bacteria and era of animal microbiology or apply microbiology in food quality in the future. Additionally, this work aimed to isolate and identify bacteria associated with commercially hawked fried fish sold in Jos metropolis in Plateau State, Nigeria. 2.Overall, I believe this manuscript has the potential to be published in Journal of Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research. 3. Therefore, it is proposed that this manuscript be accepted for publication in this journal after minor revision.	This research highlights the considerable microbial contamination found in commercially hawked fried ready-to-eat fried fish samples in Jos Metropolis. These findings highlight the critical need for improved food safety regulations and practices among vendors in order to reduce microbial contamination and protect consumer health.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No, it is brief, succinct, and highly direct.	Yes
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	1. Overall, the author's content can be explained directly to the point as discovered. 2. My only suggestion is to report the results of the microbial populations in logarithmic form, for example 3.6 x 10^6 CFU/g in sample ABTe = log 6.6 CFU/g in sample ABTe.	Yes. Microbial population has been reported in logarithmic form as recommended.
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	1.The content of this manuscript aims to introduce functional and beneficial microorganisms for human use in the future as food or their apply in any environmental. 2.Choosing the appropriate research methodology for the manuscript's structure is crucial. 3.Most English usage is grammatically correct. 4.This manuscript still lacks some crucial details that are necessary for evaluating the experiment's results, for example statistics analysis.	The experimental result has been subjected to statistical test. Kruskal-Wallis Test was done to determine if total bacterial count (TBC) differs significantly across the four (4) markets (FG, ABT, BD, BK) while Chi-square test was done to compare the prevalence <i>Klebsiella aerogenes</i> across the markets.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	1. The reference list is current and updated. 2. Authors should refrain from utilizing references older than five years. They should be re-evaluated if feasible.	Yes and few has been updated
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	1. Most English usage is grammatically correct but it is recommended to check the spelling.	Yes
Optional/General comments	1. Authors should check that the scientific units used in the content are formatted in accordance with the journal's guidelines and consistent throughout the text. 2. Authors should re-check the journal format. 3. Carefully verify the accuracy of the content.	Reviewers commends has been duly revised and implemented.

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No