

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_130540
Title of the Manuscript:	Isolation and Identification of Bacteria Associated with Commercially Hawked Ready-To-Eat Fried Fish Sold in Jos Metropolis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically relevant as it points out the pathogens associated with fish that is consumed in a specific region. In addition, the levels of the pathogens in the fish are unveiled and the likely dangers associated in the consumption of such fish. So, the manuscript is relevant to help policy makers to make an informed decision as to protect the populace and guide against sources of contamination.	This manuscript highlights the considerable microbial contamination found in commercially hawked fried ready-to-eat fried fish samples in Jos Metropolis. These findings highlight the critical need for improved food safety regulations and practices among vendors in order to reduce microbial contamination and protect consumer health.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	YES	YES
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	YES, IT IS COMPREHENSIVE	YES. IT IS COMPREHENSIVE. THE COMMENDS HAS BEEN REVISED AND UPDATED
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	YES, THE APPROACH AND METHODOLOGY ARE CORRECT	YES
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	YES, BUT FEW OF THEM ARE OBSOLETE AND NEED TO REPLACED	SOME OLD REFERENCES WERE DELETED AND REPLACED WITH RECENT ONES
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	YES.	YES
Optional/General comments	THE MANUSCRIPT IS WELL EXPLAINED AND WRITTEN AND THE RESULTS CONVEY RELEVANT INFORMATION. BUT SOME THE TERMS USED WERE NOT DEFINED AT FIRST MENTION.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	NO ETHICAL ISSUES