

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_128654
Title of the Manuscript:	Organosomatic Indices and Condition Factor of Clarias gariepinus (Burchell, 1822) Sub-Adult Exposed to Sub-Lethal Concentrations of Gold Crew Oil Spill Dispersant
Type of the Article	Research article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study on the organosomatic indices and condition factor of Clarias gariepinus exposed to sub-lethal concentrations of Gold crew oil spill dispersant is crucial for understanding the impact of environmental pollutants on aquatic life. It provides insights into the physiological effects of oil spill dispersants, which can inform sustainable fisheries management and the preservation of aquatic ecosystems. Additionally, the findings highlight potential health risks associated with the use of dispersants, emphasizing the need for careful consideration in oil spill response strategies.	Noted
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title is suitable	Thanks
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the abstract of the article is fairly comprehensive.	OK
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, the manuscript appear scientifically correct based on the provided context. Some key points methodology, organosomatic indices, result interpretation and statistical analysis.	ok
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, references are recent and sufficient. (please add some left references you cited in the material method part, not in references.)	Noted
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the quality of language is suitable and scientifically appear for scholarly communication.	ok
Optional/General comments	(Table 1) has been cited multiple times within the same paragraph. For better readability and alignment with standard manuscript conventions, it is recommended to mention a table or figure only once per paragraph, ideally at the end of the relevant content. Repeated mentions of "(Table 1)" can disrupt the flow and appear redundant.	Revised

[Review Form 3](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	