

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_131064
Title of the Manuscript:	Sustainable Libraries for Books and Bytes: A Bibliometric Study of Web of Science Database
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The co-authorship network was generated using the latest version of VOSviewer. The figure was created based on a co-authorship analysis, with authors serving as the units of analysis.	The manuscript have been modified as per the reviewer suggestions and highlighted in dark bule text.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	-----	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	SUGGESTION HAS BEEN MADE TO CLEARLY DEFINE THE CONCEPT OF concept of sustainable libraries IN THE FIRST SENTENCE	Added
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	YES	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	NO, RECENT RESEARCH IN LIBRARIES AND SUSTAINABILITY ARE MISSING, REFERENCES ARE NOT CORRECTLY WRITTEN. COMMENTS HAVE BEEN MADE IN THE REVIEW PANE TOI GUIDE THE AUTHOR (S)	Recent research on the area have been added in the literature review part.
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	YES	
Optional/General comments	THE MANUSCRIPT'S TITLE IS GOOD AND TOPICAL, THE METHODOLOGY IS APPROPRIATE TOO. CORRECTIONS ARE NEEDED TO PUSH IT THROUGH	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	