

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_130938
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing Social Media Influence on Nigerian Youths' Knowledge, Awareness and Attitude Towards Anti-Female Genital Mutilation (FGM) Legislative Provisions
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	As FGM is a global social evil, this manuscript holds significance for the scientific community. A research gap section can be added after the literature review section.	Thank you
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	Thank you
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	In introduction and methodology section ; change the sentence as "descriptive statistics (percentage method) was applied for the data analysis and presentation was done through table and pie chart" .	Done
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	Thank you
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	Thank you
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language framing and grammatical errors should be checked properly (proof reading is needed).	Done
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	1. Objective should be point wise(i. e. The objectives of the study are as follows 1,2,3.....) 2. Demographic characteristics of respondents(question 1 to 4) should be presented in table form; for this use higher order table (over use of pie chart should be reduced)	1.Done 2. We felt it should be left as it is because it was automatically generated via Google form. No third-party manipulation

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	