

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_130868
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Project Risk Management Practices on Project Performance in Rwanda: a case of Infection Disease (ID) Project and Maternal and Child Health (MCH) Project
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• I like the manuscript because of the perfect topic and contents• The author did a fantastic job in avoiding plagiarism• The Research Status is commendable	I sincerely appreciate your recognition about the originality and importance of the study.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is perfect	Thank you very much for this comments. I sincerely appreciated your feedback on the title of the study.
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive. 314 words are sufficient	Thank you very much for this appreciation about the abstract of the study.
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct. It is very informative and talks about the Effect of Project Risk Management Practices on Project Performance	I sincerely appreciate your recognition about scientific methods used in the study.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Yes, the references are comprehensive enough.• It would be fantastic to rearrange the references in an alphabetical order. For instance, all authors with the names starting with the letter “A” should be considered first, then followed by the letter “B” and so on.• The same thing applies to the keywords under the abstract section	Thank you for your appreciation about the references used.
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is of good quality	Thank you for your appreciation about the quality of language used for scholarly communications.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	In future, it would be great to arrange the references and keywords in an alphabetical order	Thank you for your insightful feedback. I understand your recommendation but I would like to point out that with this system, it becomes challenging to arrange the list of references alphabetically because in this context, number used in-text referencing allow for easier navigation and identification of sources. I hope this clarifies my reasoning behind the referencing style used in the manuscript. It is a guideline of the Journal.

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	