

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_130868
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Project Risk Management Practices on Project Performance in Rwanda: a case of Infection Disease (ID) Project and Maternal and Child Health (MCH) Project
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Comments as a reviewer <ul style="list-style-type: none">- The manuscript needs to rephrase the abstract- In the introduction the citations used are old ones, Author need to upgrade the studies more about the recent studies and the work.- The Author has need to structure the problem defined in the study with the stron literature.- Author focuses on Infection Disease (ID) Project and Maternal and Child Health (MCH) Project so need to consider the past studies in the same field done by the other authors.- Authors need to highlight the list of questionnaires and the type of people involved in the work should be included in the paper.- The entire flow of the paper is not clear is needs to be correct.- The conclusion should be rephrased with reference to the study and should be descriptive.- In its current state, the manuscript needs Major Revision.	I sincerely appreciate the time and effort you have put into reviewing my work. Below are my responses to the points you raised. I understand your suggestion to rephrase the abstract, problem statement, references, etc but I would like to note that the methodology and findings are already well-done and clearly presented in the paper. The comments are given in general but do not clarify the specific gaps in each step. I plan to add keywords to improve the abstract’s relevance and clarity.

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	