

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_130857
Title of the Manuscript:	Survey of Regulatory Landscape for School Education for Third Gender Pupils in India: With Educational Board Level Case-studies from CBSE, ICSE and UP Board
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is important in the sense that it has raised the issue of inclusive education for TG people. Due to socio-cultural perspectives, many TG children may be discriminated against or neglected the TG children at schools. So, this manuscript highlights this issue which needs to be considered in policy making in education.	Noted.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	It looks ok.	Noted.
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The contribution of the study or the implication aspect is missing. The author should incorporate it.	Added to the paper.
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript does not follow the basic format of the scientific research. It lacks systematicness in format, accuracy, and proper citations and references. Introduction, Literature Review, Methods and Materials, Results and Discussion, and Conclusion are the common formats for scientific writing. The manuscript lacks the systematic arrangement of this basic format.	Added a section on methods and materials. Improved the “Results and Discussion” chapter (previously called “Summary”)
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Some of the references are recent between 2021-2024. However, the old references except the theories and policies should be replaced by the recent ones. The practice for empirical review is not more than five years old.	Noted. The older references are the latest that could be found in the context.
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language looks ok. However, it requires adjusting many punctuation issues, including in-text citations is most of which lack commas with the date of publication.	Corrected the in-text citation style.
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	