

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_130554
Title of the Manuscript:	Enhancing Teacher Training for Social Improvement in Education: Innovative Approaches and Best Practices
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This paper discusses teacher training and societal growth. From new methods and best practices, the research shows how teacher preparation may be reorganized to improve inclusion, equality, and educational quality. This article is pertinent to today's quickly changing educational scene since it discusses experiential learning, technological integration, culturally sensitive teaching, and social-emotional learning. The results add to teacher professional development research and may help policymakers, educators, and researchers enhance teacher training programs worldwide.	Thanks for the comments.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The current title is relevant and clearly conveys the focus of the manuscript. However, a more concise and impactful alternative could be: "Innovative Approaches to Teacher Training: Best Practices for Socially Inclusive Education"	Thanks for the comments.
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive and provides a strong overview of the manuscript. However, the following modifications are suggested for better clarity and impact: <ul style="list-style-type: none">The phrase <i>"In today's rapidly evolving educational landscape, teachers are faced with diverse challenges..."</i> could be restructured for conciseness.The role of policymakers and institutional collaboration could be briefly mentioned to strengthen the argument on implementing best practices.A concluding sentence summarizing the key impact of these approaches on long-term educational reforms would enhance the abstract.	Noted and revised
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript appears to be scientifically sound, presenting well-supported arguments and contemporary educational practices.	Yes
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	Ok
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language of the manuscript is suitable for scholarly communication, with clear articulation of key concepts.	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript presents a well-structured and insightful discussion on enhancing teacher training for social improvement. The inclusion of diverse and contemporary methodologies makes it a valuable contribution to the field of education. However, incorporating more case studies or empirical evidence would strengthen the arguments and provide practical applicability. Additionally, refining the flow of certain sections, particularly in the abstract, can enhance readability. Overall, the manuscript is promising and aligns well with the current educational discourse on innovation and inclusivity in teacher training.	Yes noted

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	