

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_130450
Title of the Manuscript:	Strategies Employed in the Implementation of the Bachelor of Education Social Studies Curriculum in Colleges of Education of Ghana
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This study serves as a vital resource for educators, policymakers, and researchers aiming to improve teacher education and curriculum implementation in Social Studies for Degree Programme. The opinions obtained from various sources (tutors, HoDs and principals) are crucial to give strong claims about the strategies implemented in Bachelor of Education Social Studies Curriculum in Colleges of Education of Ghana. The findings advocate for a redesign of professional development strategies tailored to the specific needs of Colleges of Education in Ghana, as well as other educational colleges worldwide.	All stated in the paper
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	The suggested title could be “Evaluating the strategies of Bachelor of Education Social Studies Curriculum in Colleges of Education of Ghana”.	Revised
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	It is advisable to avoid the use of abbreviation, such as CoE and HoD in the abstract.	Revised
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	Yes. The introduction well addresses the background of study, problematic situation, objective of study and the research gap. The significance of the study should be stated to highlight its importance and novelty. The research design is appropriate to the reseach objectives. The structure and organization of article are also appropriate.	<b>The significance of the study in the manuscript and highlighted red</b>
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	The references are sufficient. However, it is lack of the recent empirical studies to support the findings of the study, which is preferably within 5 to 8 years.	Most of the references are within 2016-2023 Highlighted red in the manuscript
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes. Nonetheless, the author(s) should carry out the spelling check to improve the quality of the article.	Issues of corrected to improve the standards of the paper
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<div>1. The article contains many abbreviations, scuh as B.Ed, CoE, HoD, MOE, NTEAP, CRDD, etc. Though some are commonly used abbreviations, but some are rare. It is advisable to clearly indicate all these abbreviations at first time of use, and re-use it consistently throughout the article.</div> <div>2. The author(s) should justify the use of instruments among the different group of participants, namely tutor, HoD and principal. Why the questionnaire is used to obtain tutors’ and HoDs’ opinion, but not interview?</div>	Different instruments used justified in the manuscript. The primary purpose of the interview guide was to triangulate responses from a quantitative perspective. The interview guide was developed based on the quantitative data from the study's first phase to understand the quantitative results.

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	YES.....IT HAS BEEN STATED IN THE MANUSCRIPT ALREDY. The researchers sought the consent of all the respondents through principals of the institutions before the conduct of the study in their institutions. Ethical clearance was sought from the Institutional Review Board at the University of Cape Coast. Data collection was sent to the Board of for assessment to ensure that it meets the ethical requirement of conducting such a study.