Review Form 3

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJESS_129880**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Research on the Use of ChatGPT in Junior High School Mathematics Teaching**
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

<mark>PART 1:</mark> **Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's Feedback** *(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	• **The Manuscript provides a relevant study about ChatGPT's potential to transform junior high school mathematics education.** • **It aligns with the global shift towards integrating AI into educational practices.** • **The Manuscript highlights the potential of AI in fostering personalized learning, enhancing interactivity and critical thinking, and providing feedback.** • **It explores innovative teaching strategies that align with modern curriculum standards, potentially enhancing the teaching-learning process.**	Thank you for your comments.
Is the title of the article suitable? **(If not please suggest an alternative title)**	The title is suitable, concise, and reflects the essence of the study. Suggestion (optional): Can use words like "Harnessing, Leveraging, or Transforming Mathematics Classroom" Eg: "*Leveraging ChatGPT to transform junior high school mathematics Teaching*"	Thanks for your comments, we have adjusted it accordingly to make the title of this manuscript more novel.
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract provides a clear overview of the study along with the objectives, methodology, and key findings. Suggestion: Could incorporate specific insights into how ChatGPT resolves common teaching challenges in mathematics classrooms.	Thank you for your suggestions. In order to make it clearer, we have included specific teaching strategies and relevant examples in the text.
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. The discussion on ChatGPT's features and their pedagogical implications is comprehensive.	Thank you for your comments.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are recent and relevant, addressing critical aspects of AI and education.	Thank you for your comments.
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is appropriate for scholarly communication. Technical explanations are clear and well-articulated. Suggestion: Address minor grammatical inconsistencies and refine sentence structures for improved readability.	Thank you for your comments.
Optional/General comments	A section addressing the limitations of ChatGPT in educational contexts, such as biases, ethical concerns, and technical limitations, would strengthen the paper. Consider exploring future research directions, such as longitudinal studies to assess the long-term impact of ChatGPT in classrooms.	Thank you for your suggestions, which have been described in the third part of this manuscript. At the end of the article, we supplement and improve it. In it, we explain the limitations of ChatGPT and our vision for the future.

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	