

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_129402
Title of the Manuscript:	Senior High School Students' Perception of Difficult Chemistry Topics
Type of the Article	Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Similar works already reported; what new information the present work offers should be highlighted throughout the article in tune with the sections; in the case of abstract it is methods and novelty; in the case of the background of the study it is a justification of the present work; in case of results and discussion, it is the comparison how your data with previous work and relate in tune with objectives; in the case of conclusion, it is a take-home piece of new information to the readers;	Methods have been added to the abstract. The present work has been properly justified. Discussion and conclusion have been revised.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Present the methods used in the study and novelty.	The methods used have been added to the abstract.
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Reference must follow the manuscript style. Include DOI number or publication URL for each reference. Cite at least 4 references from the Web of Science (WOS), Asean Citation Index (ACI), and Scopus Index Databases.	DOI and URL have been added to the references.
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The paper requires careful polishing of its English presentation, addressing Grammatical issues, typos, and poorly written sentences.	Grammatical issues, typos have been addressed.
Optional/General comments	Tweak the introduction by clearly mentioning the research gap and introducing the problem well. Comparative analysis is missing; without comparative analysis with the existing literature. Comparison of how your data with the previous work relates to objectives. Add unique features of this study compared to the existing works. A conclusion should also exhibit the novelty, prospects, and recommendations.	Research gap have been clearly stated.

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	