

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJESS_129402 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Senior High School Students' Perception of Difficult Chemistry Topics |
| Type of the Article | Research Article |

PART 1: Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | Similar works already reported; what new information the present work offers should be highlighted throughout the article in tune with the sections; in the case of abstract it is methods and novelty; in the case of the background of the study it is a justification of the present work; in case of results and discussion, it is the comparison how your data with previous work and relate in tune with objectives; in the case of conclusion, it is a take-home piece of new information to the readers; | Methods have been added to the abstract. The present work has been properly justified. Discussion and conclusion have been revised. |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | Yes | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | Present the methods used in the study and novelty. | The methods used have been added to the abstract. |
| Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here. | Yes | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. | Reference must follow the manuscript style. Include DOI number or publication URL for each reference. Cite at least 4 references from the Web of Science (WOS), Asean Citation Index (ACI), and Scopus Index Databases. | DOI and URL have been added to the references. |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | The paper requires careful polishing of its English presentation, addressing Grammatical issues, typos, and poorly written sentences. | Grammatical issues, typos have been addressed. |
| Optional/General comments | Tweak the introduction by clearly mentioning the research gap and introducing the problem well. Comparative analysis is missing; without comparative analysis with the existing literature. Comparison of how your data with the previous work relates to objectives. Add unique features of this study compared to the existing works. A conclusion should also exhibit the novelty, prospects, and recommendations. | Research gap have been clearly stated. |

Review Form 3

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |