


Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_129402
Title of the Manuscript:	Senior High School Students' Perception of Difficult Chemistry Topics
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<p>Senior High School Students' Perception of Difficult Chemistry Topics</p> <p>(direct research question show topic instead of the topic of the study country Here researcher clearly mentioned country/ state/districts , type of school ,is it public or private school to whom perception check.)</p> <p>"Senior High School Students' Perception and Performance in Difficult Chemistry Topics in India: A Quantitative Analysis"</p> <p>Add performance variable to cross check the impact of difficulties both student selected high achiever and low achiever as a sample</p>	The topic has modified as 'Ghanaian Senior High School Students' Perception of Difficult Chemistry Topics'.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes suitable but minor changing required as mention above	The topic has modified as 'Ghanaian Senior High School Students' Perception of Difficult Chemistry Topics'.
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No , add some back ground of the study , method design , sample ,population , and then present the result and recommendation after data analysis result in short and brief sentence	This was added to the abstract : The study adopted a quantitative approach, using a cross-sectional survey design. The sample consisted of sixty (60) form 2 chemistry students. Two senior high schools that offer a general science programme were purposively selected. Then, thirty chemistry students were selected from each school using simple random sampling technique. The study recommends that Chemistry teachers can utilise technology tools such as interactive simulations, virtual labs, and multimedia resources to enhance learners' understanding of abstract chemistry concepts.
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes , follow all step involve in scientific research method	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes reference sufficient but add DOI number in reference ,a few reference add DOI number.	DOI and URL have been added to the references

Review Form 3

<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes , language used a clear and precise way</p>																		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Writing style and formatting not up to APA 7th style or our journal follow any another style of writing Article full fill all requirement of the research study but a few thing may be refine</p> <p>1.Absract directly started form result instead of back ground of the study , study method ,design , sample , population, what are the significant</p> <p>1.1 back ground of the study: research may at the end at sub heading research question . And prepare gender demographically only one question</p> <p>Is there any significant difference in perceived difficult chemistry topics between males and females?</p> <p>Is there any significant difference in perceptions of chemistry between males and females? "</p> <p>2. paragraph start from lift align which is not recommended in APA 7th style , writing style also follow arial ,font size 12(if journal follow APA 7th style consider it other wise ignore the comments at all)</p> <p>3.data analysis as a whole from statement 1- as a total perception it is recommended that sub factor wise discuss the level of perception , through SPSS level of response calculated and presented in form of agreement and dis agreement continuum</p> <p>4. in reference section page 20/20 space added in consider and correct it as shown in picture</p>  <p>5.rserchewr define level of agreement in 5.4. Data Analysis</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Variable</th> <th>Mean score</th> <th>Interpretation</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td rowspan="3">Perception</td> <td>1.00-2.90</td> <td>Negative</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.00-3.50</td> <td>Moderate</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.60-5.00</td> <td>Positive</td> </tr> <tr> <td rowspan="3">Topic difficulty</td> <td>1.00-2.90</td> <td>Difficult</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.00-3.50</td> <td>Somewhat difficult</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.60-5.00</td> <td>Not difficult</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>But in result section 3.09 consider low perception .is it correct or mistake please consider it</p> <p>“6.3. Research question 2: What is the perception of students towards chemistry?</p> <p>Table 4 presents the descriptive statistics of students' perceptions of chemistry.</p> <p>It was found that students have a low perception of chemistry (M = 3.30, SD = 0.61).”</p> <p>Over all , research put his best effort , please review the changing I suggested after reviewing it in detail</p> <p>Researcher should pilot test the instrument on 30 students and revise the statement because “reliability coefficient, which was 0.71” which is around .80 consider good for research instrument .please consider this changes</p>	Variable	Mean score	Interpretation	Perception	1.00-2.90	Negative	3.00-3.50	Moderate	3.60-5.00	Positive	Topic difficulty	1.00-2.90	Difficult	3.00-3.50	Somewhat difficult	3.60-5.00	Not difficult	<p>All suggestions duly noted and corrected.</p>
Variable	Mean score	Interpretation																	
Perception	1.00-2.90	Negative																	
	3.00-3.50	Moderate																	
	3.60-5.00	Positive																	
Topic difficulty	1.00-2.90	Difficult																	
	3.00-3.50	Somewhat difficult																	
	3.60-5.00	Not difficult																	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	